Effect of Massage Therapy on Labor Pain Reduction in Primiparous Women: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Clinical Trials in Iran

Abstract

Background: Pain is a common experience for women during labor. Therefore, pain relief care for mothers during labor is very important. This meta-analysis was conducted to evaluate the efficacy of massage therapy on labor pain reduction in primiparous women. Materials and Methods: In this meta-analysis, the databases of Web of Knowledge, PubMed, Scopus, Cochrane, Iranmedex, Scientific Information Database (SID), and Magiran were searched for published articles in English and Persian language up to January 2016. Among the studies, with regard to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 10 studies were selected. Data were analyzed by using Stata software version 11, and standard mean difference (SMD) of effects of massage therapy was calculated. The heterogeneity among studies was evaluated by the Chi-square based Q-test and F statistics. Results: The results of Chi-square based on Q-test and F statistics showed heterogeneity among studies in the latent phase (Q = 63.52, P value < 0.001 and F = 87.4%), active phase (Q = 26.42, P value < 0.001, and F = 77.3%), and transitional phase (Q = 104.84, P value <0.001, and F = 95.2%). Results showed that massage therapy reduces labor pain in the latent phase (SMD = −1.23, 95% CI: −1.73 to −0.74), active phase (SMD = −1.59, 95% CI: −2.06 to −1.12), and transitional phase (SMD = −1.90, 95% CI: −3.09 to −0.71). Conclusions: This study provides valid evidence for the effect of massage therapy in Iran for labor pain relief. Therefore, the use of massage therapy can be recommended in the primiparous women.
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Introduction

Labor pain intensity is one of the most severe pains that almost all women experience during labor,[1,2] which may have some adverse effects for the mothers and fetus.[3,4] Fear of labor pain, especially in primigravida, women may affect their option for the type of delivery in subsequent labors, and increase mothers’ tendency for cesarean.[5,6] The results of a systematic review and meta-analysis showed that the prevalence of cesarean has increased in Iran in recent years; the overall prevalence of cesarean in Iran was estimated to be 48% and fear of normal delivery pain was identified as one of the main causes of cesarean prevalence.[7]

Therefore, pain relief care for mothers during labor is very important, and both pharmacological and nonpharmacological methods can be used for this purpose. The use of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) for pain reduction among women during labor has grown in the past decade.[1,8,9] In women with respiratory and heart diseases or mothers who have allergy to drugs, use of nonpharmacological methods such as CAM is more necessary as an alternative.[10] Massage, muscle relaxation, breathing techniques, music therapy, mind body techniques, reflexology, herbal medicines, hypnosis, and touch therapy are some types of CAM that use to reduce the pain in the world.[11,12] Massage therapy for pain relief during labor stage can reduce need for analgesic drug consumption.[2]

There are some studies in Iran in this regard, however, there is no overall conclusion. Primiparous women because of their first experience may be feeling more pain. Therefore, this meta-analysis was conducted to evaluate the efficacy of massage therapy on labor pain reduction in primiparous women.
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Materials and Methods

In this meta-analysis, the databases of Web of Knowledge, PubMed, Scopus, Cochrane, Iranmedex, Scientific Information Database (SID), and Magiran were searched for published articles in English and Persian language up to January 2016. Among the studies with regard to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 10 studies (out of 186 publications) were selected.

The search was conducted with all possible combinations of key words; Massage and Women and Iran. In English language databases, the search strategy was (“Massage”) AND (“Delivery” OR “labor”) AND (Iran). The review is conducted based on the PRISMA guidelines.[13] Two researchers independently followed quality assessment of articles using the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT).[14]

All available randomized controlled clinical trials in English and Persian language were included if: (1) they were carried out in Iran; (2) participants were randomized into a massage or control group; (2) participants were primiparous; (3) the outcome of study was labor pain and was reported separately in three phases of delivery including; latent, active, and transitional.

Studies were excluded if the experimental group received multiple treatments or the control group undertook any practice that could be perceived to relief pain, studies with duplicate citation, and also studies with failure to report the necessary data for meta-analysis (mean and standard deviation).

Data were analyzed by using Stata software version 11 (StataCorp LP, 4905 Lakeway Drive, College Station, TX 77845, USA), and Standard Mean Difference (SMD) of effects of massage therapy was calculated. The heterogeneity among studies was evaluated by the Chi-square based on Q-test and F statistics with a significance level of <0.1. Based on the rejection of homogeneity hypothesis, random effect models were applied for the estimation of pooled effect. Test for overall effect (Z-score) was regarded significant at P < 0.05. Potential publication bias among studies was assessed by Funnel plot.

Ethical considerations

The research proposal was approved by the Ethics Council of Arak University of Medical Sciences with ethics number IR.ARAKMU.REC.1395.34. Because this is a review study, informed consent was not required.

Results

The first step of search in the mentioned databases yielded 186 publications. Removing the duplicate studies and considering the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 10 studies[10,15-22] were selected for the meta-analysis [Figure 1 and Table 1]. The total number of samples in the selected 10 studies was 702 people.

There was heterogeneity among studies based on the results of the Chi-square test based on Q-test and F statistics showed in the latent (Q = 63.52, P value <0.001, and F = 87.40%), active phase (Q = 26.42, P value <0.001, and F = 77.30%), and transitional phase (Q = 104.84, P value <0.001, and F = 95.20%). Therefore, the random effect model was used in the meta-analysis.

The forest plot of eligible articles for estimating the effect of massage therapy on labor pain in latent, active and transitional phase of delivery in Iran is presented in figure 2. In these plots; SMD, 95% confidence interval (95% CI) and the weight assigned to each study are reported. Results showed that
massage therapy reduces labor pain in overall (SMD = −1.52, 95% CI: −1.90 to −1.14) and also in the latent phase (SMD = −1.23, 95% CI: −1.73 to −0.74), active phase (SMD = −1.59, 95% CI: −2.06 to −1.12), and transitional phase (SMD = −1.90, 95% CI: −3.09 to −0.71) [Figure 2].

There was not enough evidence for asymmetry among included studies in funnel plot [Figure 3].

Discussion

This study summarized the findings of randomized clinical trials regarding massage therapy of labor pain in Iran, and showed that massage therapy reduces labor pain in overall as well as separately in the latent, active, and transitional phase of labor. Recently, Ganji et al. conducted a systematic review based on articles published between 1990 and 2015 in the world and recommended massage therapy for pain relief in child birth.[23] In the systematic review by Huntley et al. in 2003, there was sufficient evidence only for efficacy of intracutaneous sterile water injections, however, they did not find enough evidence for any other CAM for pain relief during labor.[24] Tournaire et al. in a review in 2006 regarding CAM for pain reduction in labor found an efficacy only for acupuncture and sterile water blocks, acupuncture, and hydrotherapy.[25]

Table 1: The characteristic of studies were included in the meta-analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Massage type</th>
<th>Phase of delivery</th>
<th>Intervention (n)</th>
<th>Control (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safarzadeh</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Tehran</td>
<td>Effleurage</td>
<td>Active, Transitional</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hosseini</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Shiraz</td>
<td>Stroking</td>
<td>Latent, Active, Transitional</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbasi</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Bojnord</td>
<td>Effleurage</td>
<td>Latent, Active, Transitional</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirzaei</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Kerman</td>
<td>Effleurage</td>
<td>Latent</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaviani</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Shiraz</td>
<td>Superficial Stroking</td>
<td>Latent, Active, Transitional</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaviani</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Shiraz</td>
<td>Vibration</td>
<td>Latent, Active, Transitional</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khavandizadeh</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Ardabil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Latent</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foroud</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Kerman</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Latent, Active, Transitional</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaviani</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Shiraz</td>
<td>Ice massage on Hoku point</td>
<td>Latent</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saffalari</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Shahrekord</td>
<td>Ice massage on Hoku point</td>
<td>Latent, Active, Transitional</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Forest plot of effect of massage therapy on labor pain in latent, active and transitional phase of delivery. The size of each square represents the weight of each study and the lines around it percent 95% CI.
Simkin et al. conducted a review regarding the effect of massage as well as 4 other nonpharmacologic methods for pain relief. They concluded that all of the 5 methods are effective and safe for pain reduction in labor.[26]

The differences between all these studies with the present study is that they did not have any statistical analysis for the estimation of the overall effect size, and also included related study from around the world, unlike the present study that is limited to Iran. Effect of massage may vary because of different experiences of caregivers and cultural conditions of each country; therefore, it is better to survey the overall effect locally in each country.

The strengths of the present study are that it summarized the evidence quantitatively and was conducted specifically only for pain outcome. However, it has some limitations such as combining the results of studies with different methods for message and different massage duration; however, the heterogeneity between studies was controlled by using random effect model for meta-analysis.

The main strength of this study was high statistical power due to high sample size resulting from the integration of different studies. Furthermore, the main limitation was that pooled estimated of effect measure from studies with different massage skills or the different time taken for massage may not be without criticism.

**Conclusion**

Based on the results of clinical randomized control trials in Iran, this study provides valid evidence for effect of massage therapy in Iran in labor pain relief. Therefore, the use of massage therapy can be recommended in the primiparous women.
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